Case 2:18-cv-00979-GMN-CWH Docum	ent 38 Filed 11/05/18 Page 1 of 7	
Howard E. Cole		
State Bar No. 4950		
Jennifer K. Hostetler State Bar No. 11994		
LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRIST	IE LLP	
3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Suite 600		
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996		
Tel: 702.949.8200 Fax: 702.949.8398		
E-mail: hcole@lrrc.com		
Email: jhostetler@lrrc.com		
Kirstin E. Muller*		
California State Bar No. 186373		
Alison M. Hamer* California State Bar No. 258281		
Benjamin J. Treger*		
California State Bar No. 285283		
HIRSCHFELD KRAEMER LLP 233 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 600		
Santa Monica, CA 90401		
Tel: 310.255.0705 Fax: 310.266.0986		
E-mail: kmuller@hkemploymentlaw.com		
E-mail: ahamer@hkemploymentlaw.com		
E-mail: btreger@hkemploymentlaw.com *Has complied with LR IA 11-2		
•		
Attorneys for Defendants		
LINETED CT AT		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,		
DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
DANIEL GONZALEZ and JEFFREY	Case No. 2:18-cv-00979-GMN-CWH	
HUGHES,	PROPOSED DISCOVERY PLAN AND	
Plaintiffs,	SCHEDULING ORDER	
vs.	(SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW	
	REQUESTED)	
DIAMOND RESORTS INTERNATIONAL MARKETING, INC.,		
DIAMOND RESORTS		
INTERNATIONAL, INC., DIAMOND		
RESORTS CORPORATION, and WEST MAUI RESORTS PARTNERS, L.P.,		
Defendants.		

Pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and District of Nevada Local Rule 26-1, Plaintiffs Daniel Gonzalez and Jeffrey Hughes ("Plaintiffs"), and Defendants Diamond Resorts International Marketing, Inc. and West Maui Resorts Partner, L.P. ("Defendants"), through their counsel of record, hereby submit this proposed discovery plan and scheduling order.

1. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) Conference and Report:

On October 19, 2018 and October 31, 2018, the parties participated in a telephonic Rule 26(f) conference. The participants were Martin Holmes and Peter Klett on behalf of Plaintiffs and Kirstin Muller and Alison Hamer on behalf of Defendants. During the Rule 26(f) conferences, the Parties' counsel discussed the following issues:

- a. What changes should be made in the timing, form, or requirement for disclosures under Rule 26(a), including a statement of when initial disclosures were made or will be made?

 Initial disclosures from all parties are due 21 days after the date of private mediation, which is anticipated to occur no later than March 30, 2019.
- b. The subjects on which discovery may be needed, when discovery should be completed, and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or be limited to or focused on particular issues?

The parties intend to promptly conduct informal pre-mediation discovery in order to expedite the exchange of necessary information and documents prior to and in aid of mediation. Plaintiffs served Defendants discovery requests on October 31, 2018 and have agreed to stay the time period for Defendants to provide formal responses while the parties engage in an informal exchange of documents and information leading up to mediation. If, at some point, Plaintiffs believe that Defendants should respond to Plaintiffs' Requests for the Production of Documents, Set One, Plaintiffs will so advise Defendants in writing to start the 30-day period for Defendants to serve formal responses and/or objections. The parties intend to conduct discovery on Rule 23 class action certification/decertification issues. The parties will also conduct discovery on the claims as set forth in the Complaint and the defenses relevant to the claims in this action.

106465910_1

1 c. Any issues about disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information, 2 including the form or forms in which it should be produced? 3 None. Any issues about claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation materials, 4 d. 5 including -- if the parties agree on a procedure to assert these claims after production -- whether 6 to ask the court to include their agreement in the order? 7 The parties agree that a party who produces a document protected from disclosure by the 8 attorney-client privilege, attorney-work product doctrine or any other recognized privilege 9 ("privileged document") without intending to waive the claim of privilege associated with such 10 document may promptly, meaning within fifteen (15) days after the producing party actually 11 discovers that such inadvertent disclosure occurred, amend its discovery response and notify the 12 other party that such document was inadvertently produced and should have been withheld. Once 13 the producing party provides such notice to the requesting party, the requesting party must promptly, meaning within seventy-two (72) hours, return the specified document(s) and any 14 15 copies thereof. By complying with this obligation, the requesting party does not waive any right to challenge the assertion of privilege and request an order of the Court denying such privilege. 16 17 Accordingly, and subject to the protections of FRE 502, the parties request that the Court 18 entertain an order under FRE 502(d), whereby inadvertently disclosing attorney-client privileged 19 or work product information is not deemed a waiver. 20 What changes should be made in the limitations on discovery imposed under these e. rules or by local rules, and what other limitations should be imposed? 21 22 None. 23 f. Any other orders that should be issued under Rule 26(c) or under Rule 16(b) and 24 (c)? 25 None. 26 /// 27 /// 28 3 106465910_1

2. <u>District of Nevada Local Rule 26-1:</u>

2.1

a. <u>Statement as to Why Longer or Different Time Periods Should Apply:</u>

The parties seek special scheduling review as a portion of this action has been pled as a Rule 23 class action. Accordingly, the parties seek additional time to conduct discovery because of the class action nature of this litigation and corresponding need to conduct discovery on Rule 23 class issues, including those related to class certification/decertification. In addition, the parties have discussed exploring resolution of the case through private mediation, which they anticipated would take place by March 30, 2019, with an informal exchange of information and documents prior to and in aid of mediation and allowing time for any additional necessary discovery afterwards if mediation is unsuccessful.

b. <u>Proposed Scheduling Order:</u>

Pursuant to District of Nevada Local Rule 26-1(e), the parties propose the following schedule for the completion of discovery:

- 1) <u>Discovery Cut Off Date:</u> **September 27, 2019**, which is 385 days from the date Defendants filed their Answer on September 7, 2018.
- 2) <u>Amending the Pleadings and Adding Parties:</u> **June 29, 2019**, which is 90 days before the discovery cut-off date.
- 3) <u>Experts:</u> **July 29, 2019**, which is 60 days before the discovery cut-off date. Rebuttal expert disclosures should be served by **August 28, 2019**, which is 30 days after the deadline for serving initial expert disclosures.
- 4) <u>Dispositive Motions:</u> **October 27, 2019**, which is 30 days after the discovery cut-off date.
- 5) <u>Joint Pretrial Order:</u> **December 11, 2019**, which is 45 days after the deadline for filing dispositive motions. In the event dispositive motions are filed, the date for filing the joint pretrial order should be suspended until thirty (45) days after decision on the dispositive motions or further order of the Court.
- 6) <u>Pretrial Disclosures:</u> Unless the Court orders otherwise, the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) and any objections thereto should be included in the pretrial order.

106465910_1 4

1	7) <u>Interim Status Report:</u> November 26, 2019 , which is 60 days before the discovery		
2	cut-off date.		
3	8) <u>Motion for Rule 23 Class Certification:</u> Plaintiff shall file a motion seeking Rule		
4	23 class certification by July 29, 2019 , which is 60 days before the discovery cut-off date.		
5	9) <u>Motion for FLSA Conditional Certification:</u> If Defendants are not agreeable to		
6	entry of a stipulation tolling the running of the statute of limitations applicable to FLSA claims		
7	which are not tolled with the filing of the Complaint, Plaintiffs may file a motion seeking		
8	conditional certification of the FLSA claims at any time, but no later than April 30, 2019.		
9	3. <u>Calculation of Time:</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a) shall apply to the deadlines set forth in this		
10	Order.		
11	4. <u>Alternative Dispute Resolution:</u> Pursuant to LR 26-1(b)(7), by filing this proposed		
12	discovery plan and scheduling order, the parties hereby certify that they met and conferred about		
13	the possibility of using alternative dispute-resolution processes including mediation, arbitration,		
14	and if applicable, early neutral evaluation.		
15	5. <u>Alternative Form of Case Disposition:</u> Pursuant to LR 26-1(b)(8), by filing this proposed		
16	discovery plan and scheduling order, the parties hereby certify that they met and conferred, and		
17	considered consent to trial by judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and the use		
18	of the Short Trial Program, and do not consent thereto.		
19	6. <u>Electronic Evidence Certification:</u> Pursuant to LR 26-1(b)(9), by filing this proposed		
20	discovery plan and scheduling order, the parties hereby certify that they met and conferred		
21	regarding whether they intend to present evidence in electronic format to jurors for purposes of		
22	jury deliberations. The parties have not reached any stipulations regarding the same.		
23	7. <u>Extension of Scheduled Deadlines:</u> Pursuant to District of Nevada Local Rule 26-4, all		
24	motions or stipulations to extend any of the deadlines set forth herein should be filed with the		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	106465910_1 5		
	106465910_1		

	Case 2:18-cv-00979-GMN-CWH Docui	ment 38 Filed 11/05/18 Page 6 of 7
1	court no later than twenty-one (21) days bet	fore the expiration of the deadline for which an
2	extension is sought.	
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED this 2nd day of No	ovember 2018:
4	DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC	LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP
5		
6	/s/ Martin D. Holmes	/s/ Alison M. Hamer
7	MICHAEL N. FEDER Nevada Bar No. 7332	HOWARD E. COLE Nevada Bar No. 4950
0	8363 West Sunset Road, Suite 200	JENNIFER K. HOSTETLER
8	Las Vegas, NV 89113	Nevada Bar No. 11994
9	MARTIN D. HOLMES	3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996
10	(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	Lus (egus, 1 (1 0) 10) 3)) 0
11	Tennessee Bar No. 012122	KIRSTIN E. MULLER
11	PETER F. KLETT (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	(Admitted Pro Hac Vice) California Bar No. 186373
12	Tennessee Bar No. 012688	ALISON M. HAMER
13	Fifth Third Center, Suite 800	(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
1.4	424 Church Street Nashville, TN 37219	California Bar No. 258281 BENJAMIN J. TREGER
14	114311VIIIC, 114 37217	(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Putative Collective	California Bar No. 285283
16	Class Members and Putative Hawaii Class	Hirschfeld Kramer LLP
	Members	233 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 600 Santa Monica, California 90401
17		Santa Monea, Camorna 30 101
18		Attorneys for Defendants
19		
20		
21		
22		IT IS SO ORDERED:
23		CARL W. HOFFMAN
24		United States Magistrate Judge
25		DATED: November 5, 2018
26		
27		
28		
	106465910_1	6

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on November 2, 2018, I caused a true and accurate copy of the 2 foregoing, PROPOSED DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER to be filed with 3 4 the Clerk of the Court via the Court's CM/ECF system, which sent an electronic copy of the same 5 to the following counsel of record: 6 Michael N. Feder Martin D. Holmes DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC Peter F. Klett 7 8363 West Sunset Road, Suite 200 DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC Las Vegas, NV 89113 Fifth Third Center, Suite 800 8 Phone: (702) 550-4440 424 Church Street 9 Fax: (844) 670-6009 Nashville, TN 37219 Email: mfeder@dickinson-wright.com Phone: (615) 244-6538 10 Fax: (844) 670-6009 Email: mdholmes@dickinsonwright.com 11 Email: pklett@dickinsonwright.com 12 13 Howard E. Cole Jennifer K. Hostetler 14 LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 600 15 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996 Phone: (702) 949-8200 16 Fax: (702) 949-8398 17 Email: hcole@lrrc.com; jhostetler@lrrc.com 18 Dated this 2nd day of November, 2018. 19 20 /s/ Karen Torres 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1